

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,246	10/30/2001	Robert L. Kleinberg	60.1323/1324 CIP	7874	
75	590 02/27/2003				
Intellectual Property Law Department Schlumberger-Doll Research Old Quarry Road			EXAMINER		
			VARGAS, DIXOMARA		
Ridgefield, CT 06877-4108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2862	2862	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/016,246	KLEINBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dixomara Vargas	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		houth a Francisco				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2001</u> is/are:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ' '	• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.					
•	priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 410/	a) (d) ar (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 10/016,246

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed in March 1, 2002 was considered except for the cited references # 7 and #14 because said references were only the glossary of a book without further information to be considered. In order to be considered, applicant needs to file the relevant chapters or pages of said book references. For the reasons stated above, references #7 and #14 from the IDS filed has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Drawings

2. Figures 1-6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of U.S. Patent No. 6,346,813. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both have the same scope of the invention by disclosing the same following features: a method of analyzing fluid in a downhole environment comprising extracting the fluid to be examined from the earth formation into a flow channel within the tool and monitoring an indication of

Art Unit: 2862

contamination in the fluid from the earth formation and analyzing the fluid in the flow channel for their properties.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited at the PTO 892 discloses NMR logging tools that extract fluid from the earth formation to examine the fluid sample of the wellbore formation inside a vessel or fluid channel of the tool.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703) 305-4816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Dixomara Vargas

February 22, 2003

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000